

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,568	10/30/2003	Yuji Sugimoto	03-038	5919
23400	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC			LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC	
12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	'A 20191		2636	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/696,568	SUGIMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Julie Lieu	2636
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order and the correction is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to by the Examiner is o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	
Paper No(s)/Maii Date	6) [] Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,568

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's request for consideration filed October

25, 2005. No claims have been amended, canceled, or added.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-A-8-

216735 (cited by the applicant).

<u>Claims 1-7:</u>

The vehicle meter unit disclosed in the JP'735 patent meets all the claimed limitation of

claims 1-7, except the location of the radio receiver. Nonetheless, the shift of location of part to

achieve an optimal result would not be considered an inventive step because it is within the

knowledge of a skilled artisan to improve a radio frequency system by reducing or eliminating

noise to achieve the desired result.

Applicant's arguments

Art Unit: 2636

4. Applicant has argued that Examiner provided improper reason for rejection. Applicant has asserted that Examiner merely presented a statement of the level of skill in the art but failed to point out any specific teaching or suggestion for making this modification. The applicant has stated that the level of skill in the art cannot be relied upon to provide the suggestion to combine references. Applicant further has contended that Examiner used hindsight since there is no suggestion or motivation found in the prior art references.

Response to Applicant's arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is submitted that the concept of mounting a RF circuitry of an RF receiver/transmitter on the opposite side of a circuit board to avoid interference between the high frequency signal device such as a digital signal processing circuitry which uses high frequency clock signal and the RF circuit is very old and conventional in the art. This is evidenced in the Pressler et al. patent, provided herein for Applicant's reference. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to mount the radio receiver of JP'735 on the opposite the circuit board for the same reason which is well known.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion or motivation found and that Examiner use hindsight in the rejection, the examiner submits that motivation for combining prior art references not need be explicitly found in the references themselves, and the examiner may provide explanation based on logic and sound reasoning that will support a holding of obviousness. (Ex parte Levengood, 28 USPQ2d, 1300). In this case the attached patent to

Art Unit: 2636

Pressler et al. provides the evidence that the concept of reducing interference of a high frequency signal device on a radio device by mounting the two devices on opposite sides of the same circuit is very old and well known and it is within the knowledge of a skilled artisan to do the same in JP'735.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

For the above reason the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2636

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 571-272-2978. The examiner can normally be reached on MaxiFlex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julie Lieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2636

Jan. 06, 06